



North Carolina Balance of State Continuum of Care

bos@ncceh.org

919.755.4393

www.ncceh.org/BoS

FY2025 Scorecard for CoC Program Funds: New Projects

This scorecard will be used by the North Carolina Balance of State Continuum of Care (NC BoS CoC) Project Review Committee to score applications for new projects. The NC BoS CoC prioritizes projects that serve households with severe needs and vulnerabilities.

This scorecard has four goals:

1. Fund organizations that have the capacity to run effective projects (can manage and administer the project, can operate on a reimbursement basis, and have experience serving this population or a similar one).
2. Fund projects that reflect the NC BoS CoC & HUD's priorities: projects that meet community needs, as outlined by the funding priorities document approved by the NC BoS CoC Steering Committee.
3. Incentivize agencies to be good partners, participating in community efforts to end homelessness, using HMIS or comparable database for Victim Service Providers (VSP), and helping create infrastructure for their community's homeless service system to operate effectively throughout the year.
4. Ensure that funded projects are being good stewards of funding and performing to NC BoS CoC standards, including descriptions in NC BoS CoC written standards and the NC BoS CoC grantee agreement.

The NC BoS CoC Project Review Committee may ask applicant agencies to provide additional information to determine the agency's capacity to: implement projects in a timely manner with successful outcomes, score well on the HUD Annual Performance Report (APR), maintain high data quality, and avoid jeopardizing overall agency stability or future funding for the NC BoS CoC.

[References in brackets indicate the materials that will be used to score each question.]

| | | | |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|
| Reviewer: | | | |
| Applicant: | | | |
| Project Name: | | | |
| Project Type (select one) | <input type="checkbox"/> SSO-Standalone <input type="checkbox"/> SSO-Street Outreach | <input type="checkbox"/> TH <input type="checkbox"/> RRH | <input type="checkbox"/> PSH <input type="checkbox"/> SSO-CE |
| Reviewer Signature: | | Date: | |



Project Quality Requirements

Standards and funding priorities will be used in the ranking process. If a standard is not met, further review will be triggered. After further review, the Project Review Committee will determine potential consequences, including whether the project is ineligible for inclusion in the final NC BoS CoC application or will be recommended to receive reduced funding. **Thresholds are a requirement** for new projects. Projects that do not meet thresholds will not be put through the next steps in the application process.

Project Score:

Maximum Points Chart

| Project Type | Maximum Points Available | Maximum Points for Objective Criteria (OC) – 50% | Maximum Points for System Performance (Returns to Homelessness, Income Increases, Supportive Service Participation Requirement) (SP) – 25% |
|---------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| SSO-Standalone | 73 | 52 | 20 |
| SSO-Street Outreach | 74 | 52 | 20 |
| TH | 113 | 82 | 30 |
| RRH | 137 | 93 | 45 |
| PSH | 144 | 102 | 45 |
| SSO-CE | 52 | 41 | |

Combined Scoring

This section is scored by two reviewers, a member of the NC BoS CoC Project Review Committee and an NCCEH staff person. The two scores are averaged for each question. Find more information on the Project Review Committee in the NC BoS CoC Governance Charter: www.ncceh.org/bos.

| Section I: General Application | | Section I Score |
|---|--|--|
| Possible Points: SSO-Standalone: 5 (4 required) SSO-Street Outreach: 6 (5 required) TH: 10 (7 required) RRH: 8 (6 required) PSH: 6 (4 required) SSO-CE: 4 (3 required) | | |
| Consistency with Mission and Experience | | |
| 1.1a | The project fits within the agency's mission statement. [New Project Intent to Apply] Reviewer Notes: | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> |
| 1.1b | The applicant describes a former or existing project that their agency has implemented and describes how that project fills a need in their community. | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> |



| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | <p><i>[Project Supplemental Information Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> Unmet |
| Accuracy and Completeness of Responses | | |
| 1.2 | <p>The project application addresses all parts of the detailed instructions.</p> <p><i>[Project Application, New Project Detailed Instructions]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> Standard <input type="checkbox"/> Met <input type="checkbox"/> Unmet |
| Adherence to Project Eligibility Thresholds | | |
| 1.3a | <p>The project will not engage in racial or religious preferences or other forms of illegal discrimination.</p> <p><i>[Project Supplemental Information Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> Threshold <input type="checkbox"/> Met <input type="checkbox"/> Unmet |
| 1.3b | <p>The project will not operate drug injection sites or “safe consumption sites,” knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia on or off of property under their control, permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control, or conduct any of these activities under the pretext of “harm reduction.”</p> <p><i>[Project Supplemental Information Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> Threshold <input type="checkbox"/> Met <input type="checkbox"/> Unmet |
| Adherence to Project Quality Thresholds | | |
| 1.4a | <p>TH, SSO-Standalone, SSO-Street Outreach, PSH, RRH: The project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> Possible Score 1 |
| 1.4b | <p>SSO-Standalone: The Supportive Services project is necessary to assist people in exiting homelessness and increasing self-sufficiency and the recipient will conduct an annual assessment of the service needs of the program participants.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> Possible Score 1 |
| 1.4c | <p>SSO-Standalone, SSO-Street Outreach: The proposed project has a strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> Possible Score 2 |



| | | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| | <p>supportive services.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | | |
| 1.4d (OC) | <p>SSO-Standalone, SSO-Street Outreach: The services provided are cost-effective consistent with 2 CFR 200.404.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 1 | |
| 1.4e | <p>SSO-Street Outreach: The application demonstrates that the applicant has a history of partnering with first responders and law enforcement to engage people living in places not meant for human habitation to access emergency shelter, treatment programs, reunification with family, transitional housing or independent living. The applicant must cooperate, assist, and not interfere or impede with law enforcement to enforce local laws such as public camping and public drug use laws.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 1 | |
| 1.4f | <p>SSO- Street Outreach: The applicant has experience providing outreach services consistent with the activity description at 24 CFR 578.53(e)(13) and has demonstrated effectiveness at helping people successfully exit from places not meant for human habitation to emergency shelter, treatment programs, transitional housing or permanent housing programs.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 1 | |
| 1.4g | <p>TH: The applicant has prior experience operating transitional housing or other projects that have successfully helped homeless individuals and families exit homelessness within 24 months.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 1 | |
| 1.4h | <p>TH: The applicant has previously operated or currently operates transitional housing or another homelessness project, or has a plan in place to ensure, that at least 50 percent of participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income as reflected in HMIS or another data system used by the applicant.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> | 1 | |



| | | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| | Reviewer Notes: | | |
| 1.4i | <p>TH: The applicant demonstrates that the project will provide and/or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants to obtain and maintain housing.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 2 | |
| 1.4j | <p>TH: The applicant demonstrates that the proposed project will require program participants to take part in supportive services (e.g. case management, employment training, substance use treatment, etc.) in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h) by attaching a supportive service agreement (contract, occupancy agreement, lease, or equivalent).</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 2 | |
| 1.4k | <p>TH: The applicant demonstrates that the proposed project will provide 40 hours per week of customized services for each participant (e.g. case management, employment training, substance use treatment, etc.).</p> <p>The 40 hours per week may be reduced proportionately for participants who are employed.</p> <p>The 40 hours per week does not apply to participants over age 62 or who have a physical disability/impairment or a developmental disability (24 CFR 582.5) not including substance use disorder.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 2 | |
| 1.4l (OC) | <p>TH: The applicant demonstrates the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable, consistent with 2 CFR 200.404.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 1 | |
| 1.4m | <p>RRH: The provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 3 months or up to 24 months.</p> | 1 | |



| | | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| | <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | | |
| 1.4n | <p>RRH: The type of supportive services and assistance that will be offered to program participants (e.g., case management, substance use treatment, mental health treatment, and employment assistance) will ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 2 | |
| 1.4o | <p>RRH: The applicant has previously operated homelessness projects where outcomes for employment income were improved compared to the average project in the CoC.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 2 | |
| 1.4p | <p>PSH and RRH: The applicant demonstrates that the proposed project will require program participants to take part in supportive services (e.g. case management, life skills, substance use treatment) in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h) by attaching a supportive service agreement (contract, occupancy agreement, lease, or equivalent).</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 1 | |
| 1.4q (OC) | <p>PSH and RRH: The average cost per household served is reasonable, consistent with 2 CFR 200.404, meaning that the costs for housing and services provided by the project are consistent with the population the project plans to serve.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 1 | |
| 1.4r | <p>PSH: The type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 1 | |
| 1.4s | <p>PSH: The type of supportive services and assistance that will be offered to program participants will ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain and retain permanent housing and in a manner that fits their needs (e.g. transportation, safety planning,</p> | | |



| | | | |
|------|--|----------|--|
| | <p>enhanced case management). If the applicant is proposing to expand an existing PH project, it must demonstrate how they are expanding supportive services to program participants,</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 1 | |
| 1.4t | <p>PSH: The project will be designed to serve elderly individuals and/or individuals with a physical disability/impairment or a developmental disability (24 CFR 582.5) not including substance use disorder. The units will prioritize these populations.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 1 | |
| 1.4u | <p>SSO-CE: The Coordinated Entry system is easily available and reachable for all persons within the CoC's geographic area who are seeking homelessness assistance. The system must also be accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC's geographic area.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 1 | |
| 1.4v | <p>SSO-CE: There is a strategy for advertising that is designed specifically to reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 1 | |
| 1.4w | <p>SSO-CE: There is a standardized assessment process. The CE project indicates that it will use the existing NC BoS CoC-wide CE system in each region.</p> <p><i>[Project Application, Project Supplemental Information Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 1 | |
| 1.4x | <p>SSO-CE: The project will ensure program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs.</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | 1 | |



Section II: Project Design

Section II Score

Possible Points:

SSO-Standalone: 25 SSO-Street Outreach: 25
 TH: 35 RRH: 35
 PSH: 35 SSO-CE: 15

Community Need Statement

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|-----------------------|----------|------------------|----------|----------------|-----|
| 2.1 | <p>New CoC Program project applicants must demonstrate that their proposed projects will meet an existing need in their community.</p> <p><i>[New Project Intent to Apply]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2 (OC) | <p>SSO-Standalone, SSO-Street Outreach, TH, RRH, PSH: The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the NC Balance of State CoC (NC BoS CoC) prioritize funding for certain homeless subpopulations.</p> <p>This project targets one of the subpopulations below. And, it describes additional outreach activities, partnerships with organizations that serve that population, and a service plan that meets that subpopulation's specific needs.</p> <p>This project targets:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • People with a physical disability/impairment or a developmental disability (24 CFR 582.5), not including substance use disorder. • Seniors (persons age 62+) • Veterans • Survivors of interpersonal violence • Unaccompanied or parenting youth 18-24 <p><i>[Project policies and procedures; ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <table border="1"> <tr> <td>No specific targeting</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Targeted project</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SSO-CE Project</td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> </table> | No specific targeting | 0 | Targeted project | 5 | SSO-CE Project | N/A |
| No specific targeting | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Targeted project | 5 | | | | | | | |
| SSO-CE Project | N/A | | | | | | | |
| 2.3 (OC) | <p>SSO-CE Only: The budget maximizes funding for assessment of service needs, case management, and outreach services that increases access for high-barrier households (assessment of service needs + CM + outreach / total budgeted amount).</p> <p><i>[Project Application]</i></p> | | | | | | | |



| | | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|-----------|
| Reviewer Notes: | | | |
| | | Less than 50% | 0 |
| | | 50-84% | 5 |
| | | 85-100% | 15 |
| Not an SSO-CE Only project | | N/A | |
| 2.4 | PSH Only: Rental assistance projects are preferred to leasing projects because rental assistance projects adjust to FMR and provide tenants with a lease in their name and increase the opportunity for self-sufficiency. Projects that wish to provide leasing must submit a written statement that explains why the project is not applying as a tenant-based rental assistance project. <i>[Project Supplemental Information Form]</i> | Standard <input type="checkbox"/> Met <input type="checkbox"/> Unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | |
| Reviewer Notes: | | | |

| TH, RRH, & PSH Only: Services Resource Leverage Plan | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| 2.5 (OC) | <p>CoC Program Funded Projects should utilize housing and healthcare resources not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs. Examples of housing and healthcare resources include those provided by private organizations, state or local government sources, public housing agencies, and faith-based organizations.</p> <p>CoCs and projects can achieve extra points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the case of housing subsidies for PSH or TH projects, the leveraged resources provide at least 25 percent of the units included in the project; or • In the case of housing subsidies for a RRH project, the leveraged resources serve at least 25 percent of the program participants included • In the case of an organization that provides substance use disorder treatment or recovery services, the leveraged resource provides access to all participants who qualify for those services; or • In the case of healthcare or behavioral health resources, the value of assistance being provided is at least an amount that is equivalent to 25 percent of the funding being requested <p>Leveraging resources, but not to the full extent listed above, may result in partial points being awarded.</p> <p><i>[Project Supplemental Information Form, Submitted MOU/contract/commitment letter to demonstrate leveraging resources]</i></p> | | |



| | | |
|---|-----|--|
| Reviewer Note: | | |
| Project does not meet either criterion above. | 0 | |
| Project has MOU/contract/commitment letter and leverages resources, but not to the full extent listed above. | 5 | |
| Project has MOU/contract/commitment letter and leverages resources to the full extent listed above. | 10 | |
| Not a TH, RRH, or PSH Project | N/A | |

Low Barrier Housing

| | | |
|-----|---|--|
| 2.6 | <p>This project uses a Low-Barrier Housing approach. <i>Must meet all statements below to meet the threshold. Project should not have any policies and procedures that would result in screening out or terminating anyone for any of the reasons below, but policies do not have to explicitly include the statements below to meet the standard.</i></p> <p>The project does not screen out for:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Having too little or no income 2) History of substance use 3) History of domestic violence (e.g., lack of protective order, or separation from abuser, or law enforcement involvement) <p>The project ensures that participants are not terminated from the program for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Failure to make progress on a service plan 2) Loss of income or failure to improve income 3) Domestic violence 4) Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically found in the project's geographic area <p><i>[Project policies and procedures, sample lease, ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> | <p>Threshold</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met (ALL met)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet (1 or more missed)</p> |
| | | |

PSH Projects Only:

| | | |
|------|---|--|
| 2.7a | <p>Leases or rental agreements do not have any provisions that would not be found in leases held by someone who does not have a disability.</p> <p><i>[Sample lease]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> |
| | | |



| | | |
|------|---|--|
| 2.7b | <p>The items in a Participation Agreement/House rules, if any, are similar to those found in housing for people who do not have disabilities and do not restrict visitors or otherwise interfere with life in the community.</p> <p><i>[Project policies and procedures, ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> |
| 2.7c | <p>Housing is not time-limited, and the lease is renewable at tenants' and owners' option.</p> <p><i>[Project policies and procedures, ZoomGrants Page Reference Form, sample lease]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> |
| 2.7d | <p>Before moving into permanent housing, tenants are asked about their housing preferences and are offered the same range of choices as are available to others at their income level in the same housing market.</p> <p><i>[Project policies and procedures, ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> |

For TH and RRH Programs Only:

| | | |
|------|---|--|
| 2.8a | <p>The program has written policies and procedures for landlord recruitment activities, including screening out potential landlord partners who have a history of poor compliance with their legal responsibilities and fair housing practices.</p> <p><i>[Project policies and procedures, ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> |
| 2.8b | <p>The program offers a standard, basic level of support to all landlords who lease to program participants. This support is detailed in a written policy distributed to landlords. Program can negotiate additional supports, as needed, on a case-by-case basis.</p> <p><i>[Project policies and procedures, ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> |



| | | |
|------|--|--|
| 2.8c | <p>The program has a written policy requiring staff to explain to participants basic landlord-tenant rights and responsibilities and the requirements of their specific lease.</p> <p><i>[Project policies and procedures, ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> |
| 2.8d | <p>Program staff are trained on regulatory requirements of all Rapid Rehousing/Transitional Housing funding streams and on the ethical use and application of a program's financial assistance policies, including, but not limited to, initial and ongoing eligibility criteria, program requirements, and assistance maximums. The program has a routine way to onboard new staff and to keep staff regularly updated on changing regulations and/or program policies.</p> <p><i>[Project policies and procedures, ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> |
| 2.8e | <p>A progressive approach, as defined by NC BoS CoC Written Standards, is used to determine the duration and amount of rent assistance. Financial assistance is not a standard "package" and is flexible enough to adjust to households' unique needs and resources, especially as participants' financial circumstances or housing costs change. Policies detailing this progressive approach include clear and fair decision guidelines and processes for reassessment for the continuation and amount of financial assistance. Policies and procedures also detail when and how rapid rehousing assistance is used as a bridge to permanent subsidy or permanent supportive housing placement.</p> <p><i>[Project policies and procedures, ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> |
| 2.8f | <p>The program has clearly defined relationships with employment and income programs that it can connect program participants to when appropriate.</p> <p><i>[Project policies and procedures, ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> |



| | |
|---|--|
| <p>2.8g The program has well-defined and written screening processes that use consistent and transparent decision criteria. Criteria do not include screening possible participants out for income or lack thereof.</p> <p><i>[Project policies and procedures, ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> |
| <p>2.8h Leases for program participants are legally binding, written leases. Leases with additional requirements, such as drug testing or program participation, are not allowed.</p> <p><i>[Project policies and procedures, ZoomGrants Page Reference Form, Sample Lease]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> |
| <p>Requiring Participation in Supportive Services</p> | |
| <p>2.9a (SP) (OC) TH, RRH, PSH: The project will provide substance abuse treatment services for people experiencing homelessness, and program participants will be required to take part in such services as a condition of continued participation in the program. Applicant has an occupancy agreement that requires participation.</p> <p><i>[Project policies and procedures, ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | |
| <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Not a TH, RRH, or PSH Project</p> | <p>0</p> <p>5</p> <p>N/A</p> |
| | |
| | |
| <p>2.9b (SP) (OC) TH, RRH, PSH: Participation in supportive services is required for all participants.</p> <p><i>[Project policies and procedures, ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | |
| <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Not a TH, RRH, or PSH Project</p> | <p>0</p> <p>15</p> <p>N/A</p> |
| | |
| | |



| | | |
|----------------------|---|---------------|
| 2.9c (SP) (OC) | <p>SSO-Standalone and SSO-Street Outreach: Participation in supportive services is required for all participants.</p> <p><i>[Project policies and procedures, ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | |
| | | No 0 |
| | | Yes 20 |
| | Not a SSO-Standalone or SSO-Street Outreach Project | N/A |

Section III: Client Advocacy

Section III Score

| | | |
|-------------|--|--|
| | Possible Points: All Projects: 14 | |
| 3.1 (OC) | <p>Non-Profit Applicants: At least one member of the project applicant's board of directors is filled by someone currently experiencing homelessness or previously experienced homelessness.</p> <p><i>[Project Supplemental Information Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | |
| | | No 0 |
| | | Yes 5 |
| | Applicant is not a Non-Profit | N/A |
| 3.2 (OC) | <p>The project applicant meets Fair Housing requirements in 24 CFR 578.93 and the CoC's Fair Housing Policy.</p> <p><i>[Project policies and procedures; ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | |
| | | No 0 |
| | | Yes 5 |
| 3.3 | <p>The applicant has an Anti-discrimination Policy in full compliance with the NC BoS CoC.</p> <p><i>[Anti-Discrimination P&P; ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> |
| 3.4 (OC) | <p>The applicant has an equal opportunity hiring clause in job postings as noted in 24 CFR 578.93.</p> <p><i>[Project Supplemental Information Form; Example Job Posting]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | |
| | | No 0 |
| | | Yes 2 |



| | | | |
|-------------|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 3.5 | <p>The applicant incorporated the NC BoS CoC Client Bill of Rights in its policies and procedures.</p> <p><i>[Project Supplemental Information Form; NC BoS CoC Client Bill of Rights P&P; ZoomGrants Page Reference Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | Standard | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Met | <input type="checkbox"/> Unmet |
| 3.6 (OC) | <p>The applicant agency has an internal policy/procedure to solicit informal/formal feedback from current/former clients.</p> <p><i>[Project Supplemental Information Form; P&P on Soliciting Client Feedback]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | No | 0 |
| | | Yes | 2 |



Staff Scoring

The following section is scored by NCCEH staff using standardized scoring methods to ensure fairness.

| Section IV: Organizational Capacity | | Section IV Score | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----|---|--|-----|---|--|
| Possible Points: All Projects: 14 | | | | | | | | |
| Completed Similar Projects | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1 | <p>The applicant has successfully implemented this same type of project (Permanent Supportive Housing, Rapid Rehousing/SSO-CE/TH/SSO-Standalone/SSO-Street Outreach) with CoC, ESG, SSVF, or other federal funds.</p> <p><i>[Project Application; New Project Intent to Apply]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <table border="1"> <tr> <td>No</td><td>0</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>Yes</td><td>4</td><td></td></tr> </table> | No | 0 | | Yes | 4 | |
| No | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 4 | | | | | | | |
| Agency Stability | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2 | <p>Non-profits Only: The applicant submitted financial statements and a copy of their budget from the most recent two fiscal years. (Financial statements will be used to assess the fiscal stability of the applicant agency. Financial statements that demonstrate instability may result in the program not meeting the threshold).</p> <p><i>[Budget vs. actual profit and loss statement for the last two fiscal years; agency detailed budget]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <p>Threshold</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> | | | | | | |
| 4.3 | <p>Non-profits only: The applicant has the financial capacity to operate this program on a reimbursement basis.</p> <p><i>[Agency detailed balance sheet]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <p>Threshold</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> | | | | | | |
| Capacity to Provide Needed Services | | | | | | | | |
| 4.4 | <p>The applicant has the capacity to provide the services that are needed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The services described seem adequate and appropriate. The staffing pattern or subcontract plan is adequate and appropriate. Project staff have sufficient experience and knowledge to effectively run the type of project applied for. <p><i>[Project Application; Organizational chart; Project Supplemental Information Form]</i></p> | <p>Standard</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Met</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Unmet</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p> | | | | | | |



| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|----------------------|-----|----------|
| | Reviewer Notes: | | | | | |
| 4.5 (OC) | <p>The applicant agency has at least one active SOAR case manager.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dedicated SOAR case manager on staff. <p><i>[NC SOAR program records]</i></p> | | | | | |
| | Reviewer Notes: | | | | | |
| | | <table border="1"> <tr> <td>No</td><td>0</td></tr> <tr> <td>Yes</td><td>2</td></tr> </table> | No | 0 | Yes | 2 |
| No | 0 | | | | | |
| Yes | 2 | | | | | |
| 4.6 (OC) | <p>HMIS Participating Agencies/Comparable Database Agencies (DV): Staff delivering services will be responsible for recording client data in HMIS or HMIS Comparable Database. (Dedicated HMIS data entry staff is historically less successful at data management)</p> <p><i>[Project Supplemental Information Form]</i></p> | | | | | |
| | Reviewer Notes: | | | | | |
| | | <table border="1"> <tr> <td>No</td><td>0</td></tr> <tr> <td>Yes</td><td>1</td></tr> </table> | No | 0 | Yes | 1 |
| No | 0 | | | | | |
| Yes | 1 | | | | | |
| 4.7 (OC) | <p>HMIS Participating Agencies/Comparable Database Agencies (DV): The applicant has a staff person identified for the HMIS Agency Administrative Role to manage the project's HMIS or HMIS Comparable Database data. (Note, if the role is the Executive Director's or President's, no points applied. EDs historically do not have the capacity to fill this role.)</p> <p><i>[Project Supplemental Information Form]</i></p> | | | | | |
| | Reviewer Notes: | | | | | |
| | | <table border="1"> <tr> <td>No</td><td>0</td></tr> <tr> <td>Yes</td><td>1</td></tr> </table> | No | 0 | Yes | 1 |
| No | 0 | | | | | |
| Yes | 1 | | | | | |
| Administrative Capacity | | <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Possible Score</td><td>Project Score</td></tr> </table> | Possible Score | Project Score | | |
| Possible Score | Project Score | | | | | |
| 4.8 (OC) | <p>The administrative staff are separate from the services staff.</p> <p><i>[Organizational chart: where does the CoC Program grant get executed and what staff is working on it; Project Supplemental Information Form]</i></p> | | | | | |
| | Reviewer Notes: | | | | | |
| | | <table border="1"> <tr> <td>No</td><td>0</td></tr> <tr> <td>Yes</td><td>3</td></tr> </table> | No | 0 | Yes | 3 |
| No | 0 | | | | | |
| Yes | 3 | | | | | |



| | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|---|----|---|--|-----|---|--|
| 4.9 | <p>Funding for the administrative staff is stable. There are adequate administrative staff to ensure agency stability throughout project implementation.</p> <p><i>[Project Supplemental Information Form; Budget vs Actual profit and loss statement]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | | | | | | | |
| | | <table border="1" data-bbox="992 481 1529 481"> <tr> <td data-bbox="992 481 1041 508">No</td> <td data-bbox="1041 481 1290 508">0</td> <td data-bbox="1290 481 1529 508"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="992 508 1041 515">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1041 508 1290 515">3</td> <td data-bbox="1290 508 1529 515"></td> </tr> </table> | No | 0 | | Yes | 3 | |
| No | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 3 | | | | | | | |

Section V: Project Performance

Section V Score

Possible Points Added:

SSO-Standalone: N/A SSO-Street Outreach: N/A

TH: 35

RRH: 61

PSH: 70

SSO-CE: N/A

Possible points subtracted (TH, RRH, PSH): -5

The following project performance scores are based on CoC Annual Performance Reports (CoC-APRs) for January 1, 2024, to December 31, 2024, unless otherwise noted. All applicants that currently run an **TH, RRH, or PSH project**, regardless of funding source, will be scored for this section using the CoC-APR report from HMIS or comparable database.

| Populations Served | Possible Score | Project Score |
|--|---------------------|---------------|
| <p>5.1a RRH Only (Excluding DV): At least 45% of the people served by the project had a disability.</p> <p>Benchmark 45%.</p> <p><i>[Q13a2 divided by total enrolled]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | | |
| | Less than 25% 0 | |
| | 25% - 44% 5 | |
| | 45% and Above 10 | |
| A DV RRH Project or not an RRH Project | N/A | |
| <p>5.1b PSH Only: At least 80% of households served by the project were chronically homeless.</p> <p><i>[A003 – Chronic Homelessness - Check if participants found non-Chronically Homeless, staff will follow up with grantee to determine CH status]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | | |
| | Less than 50% 0 | |
| | 50-79% 2 | |
| | 80-100% 4 | |
| Not a PSH Project | N/A | |
| <p>5.1c TH, RRH, & PSH: 100% of project participants entered the project from an eligible situation.</p> <p><i>[CoC APR Q15 - if participants are found ineligible, staff will follow up with the grantee to determine eligibility]</i></p> | | |



| | | | |
|--------------|--|---------------------------|-----------|
| | Reviewer Notes: | | |
| | | No | -5 |
| | | Yes | 0 |
| | Not a TH, RRH or PSH project | | N/A |
| 5.1d (OC) | TH & RRH: At least 50% of exits were to a permanent housing destination. Updated HUD Benchmark 50% [CoC-APR 23a/b] Reviewer Notes: | | |
| | | No exits or Less than 50% | 0 |
| | | 50% -100% | 15 |
| | | Not a TH or RRH Project | N/A |
| 5.1e (OC) | PSH Only: At least 50% of exits were to a permanent housing destination. Updated HUD Benchmark 50% [CoC-APR 23c.] Reviewer Notes: | | |
| | | Below 50% | 0 |
| | | 50% -100% | 15 |
| | | Not a PSH Project | N/A |
| 5.1f (OC) | RRH & PSH: At least 95% of exits were to a known destination. [CoC-APR 23a/b] Reviewer Notes: | | |
| | | 0-94% | 0 |
| | | 95% -100% | 1 |
| | | Not a RRH or PSH Project | N/A |
| 5.1g (SP) | RRH & PSH: At least 20% of adults increased earned income. Benchmark 20%. [CoC-APR 19a1, 19a2] Reviewer Notes: | | |
| | | <20% | 0 |
| | | 20%-100% | 15 |
| | | Not a RRH or PSH Project | N/A |
| 5.1h (OC) | PSH Only: At least 40% of adults increased unearned cash income. Benchmark 40%. [CoC-APR 19a1, 19a2] Reviewer Notes: | | |



| | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | <10% | 0 | |
| | | 10 - 39% | 10 | |
| | | 40% and Above | 15 | |
| | | Not a PSH project | N/A | |
| 5.1i (SP) | TH, RRH, & PSH: 8% or less people who exited to PH returned to homelessness within 2 years.] HUD Benchmark less than 8%. [0701 SPM Report] Reviewer Notes: | | | |
| | | 8% or less | 10 | |
| | | Greater than 8% | 0 | |
| | | Not a TH, RRH or PSH Project | N/A | |
| HMIS/Comparable Database Participation | | Possible Score | Project Score | |
| 5.2a (OC) | All of the applicant's projects that are listed in the 2025 HIC participate in HMIS or a comparable database if a VSP. [HIC] Reviewer Notes: | | | |
| | | No | 0 | |
| | | Yes | 5 | |
| | | Not a TH, RRH or PSH Project | N/A | |
| 5.2b | (Excluding DV) The applicant was responsive to the Data Center in Annual Corrections for LSA and/or SPM reports. [The CoC Regional Lead copied on communication to escalate responsiveness. Data Center records.] Reviewer Notes: | | | |
| | | No | 0 | |
| | | Yes | 5 | |
| | | A VSP Project / Not a TH, RRH or PSH Project | N/A | |
| HUD Monitoring | | | | |
| 5.3a | The applicant is free of HUD monitoring findings for any agency projects. If not, findings must be resolved or explained to the satisfaction of the Project Review Committee for the application to meet standards. [Project Supplemental Information Form] Reviewer Notes: | | Standard | |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Met | |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Unmet | |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | |
| 5.3b | Previous Project Spending Rates: These questions are for applicants with CoC-funded projects that have been operating for at least one year at the time of the NOFO release. (Percentage rounded to the nearest whole number). | | Standard | |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Met – Spent at least 90% of funds | |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Unmet | |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | |



| | |
|---|----------------|
| <p><i>[Scored from APR. If APR is not available, agencies will submit an eLOCCS screenshot of final draw for last completed year. If agencies are spending less than 90% of funding, they must submit a narrative explaining why the agency is underspending their grant].</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | |
| | Amount awarded |
| | Amount spent |
| | Percentage % |

Section VI: Agency's Relationship to Community

Section VI Score

Possible Points:

SSO-Standalone: 10 SSO-Street Outreach: 10

TH: N/A RRH: N/A

PSH: N/A SSO-CE: N/A

Current CoC Program RRH & PSH Grantees: 5

Participation in Regional Committee Activities

The following participation questions will be scored based on project participation in all Regional Committees within the grant coverage area.

| | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|
| <p>6.1 Applicant agrees to actively participate in the local Coordinated Entry process as designed by the Regional Committee, and if RRH, PSH, or TH, to only take referrals directly from the regional Coordinated Entry prioritization by-name list.</p> <p><i>[Project Supplemental Information Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | Threshold | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Met | <input type="checkbox"/> Unmet |
| <p>6.2 RRH, PSH, TH: Applicant accurately describes the process of taking referrals through Coordinated Entry.</p> <p><i>[Project Supplemental Information Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | Standard | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Met | <input type="checkbox"/> Unmet |
| <p>6.3 SSO-Standalone and SSO-Street Outreach: Applicant accurately describes the process of connecting program participants to their region's Coordinated Entry.</p> <p><i>[Project Supplemental Information Form]</i></p> <p>Reviewer Notes:</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | |
| | No 0 | |
| | Yes 10 | |
| | Not a SSO-Standalone or SSO-Street Outreach Project | N/A |

Current CoC Program RRH & PSH Grantees: CE Assessment

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>6.4 (OC) At least 95% of new households who entered the existing PSH or RRH project in calendar year 2024 were referred through Coordinated Entry.</p> | |
|---|--|



| | | |
|--|-----|-----|
| [CE Audit Report pulled by NCCEH] | | |
| Reviewer Notes: | | |
| | No | 0 |
| | Yes | 5 |
| Not a Current CoC Program RRH or PSH Grantee | | N/A |

Section VII: Application Deadlines and Documentation

Section VII Score

| Possible Deductions: | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|------------------|
| | | | |
| Budget & Match | | Possible Deduction | Deduction |
| 7.1 | Questions regarding the budget are neither complete nor accurate, <i>subtract up to 5 points.</i> Reviewer Notes: | -5 | |
| 7.2 | Match letters sufficiently document the required 25% match and all match funds are eligible. <i>Match amounts are based on documentation submitted by the applicant by the submission deadline. Information submitted after the deadline will not be included in the scoring of these sections.</i> [Match letters] Reviewer Notes: | Standard <input type="checkbox"/> Met <input type="checkbox"/> Unmet | |
| Deadlines | | Possible Deduction | Deduction |
| 7.3 | The application in e-snaps was NOT completed correctly, <i>subtract up to 10 points.</i> Reviewer Notes: | -10 | |
| 7.4 | Required accompanying documents were NOT completed correctly, <i>subtract up to 10 points.</i> Reviewer Notes: | -10 | |
| 7.5 | The application and accompanying documents were submitted by the deadline. Reviewer Notes: | Threshold <input type="checkbox"/> Met <input type="checkbox"/> Unmet | |
| 7.6 | The applicant signed and submitted the NC BoS CoC's Grantee Agreement Form. [Grantee Agreement Form] Reviewer Notes: | Threshold <input type="checkbox"/> Met <input type="checkbox"/> Unmet | |

