



North Carolina Balance of State Continuum of Care

bos@ncceh.org

919.755.4393

www.ncceh.org/BoS

2017 Scorecard for CoC Funds: New Projects

This scorecard will be used by the North Carolina Balance of State Continuum of Care (NC BoS CoC) Project Review Committee to score applications for new projects. The CoC prioritizes projects that serve households with severe needs and vulnerabilities, including chronic homelessness.

This scorecard has four goals:

- Fund organizations that have the capacity to run effective programs (can manage and administer the program, can operate on reimbursement basis, have experience serving this population or a similar one).
- Fund projects that reflect the NC BoS CoC & HUD’s priorities: projects that meet community need, as outlined by the funding priorities document approved by the NC BoS CoC Steering Committee.
- Incentivize agencies to be good partners (participating in community efforts to end homelessness, on HMIS, helping create infrastructure for their community’s homeless service system to operate effectively throughout the year).
- Ensure that funded projects are being good stewards of NC BoS CoC funding and performing to NC BoS CoC standards, including descriptions in written standards and the NC BoS CoC grantee agreement.

The BoS Project Review Committee may ask applicant agencies to provide additional information to determine agency capacity to: implement projects in a timely manner with successful outcomes, score well on the HUD Annual Performance Report (APR), and avoid jeopardizing overall agency stability or future funding for the NC BoS CoC.

[References in brackets indicate the materials that will be used to score each question.]

Reviewer:			
Applicant:			
Project Name:			
Project Type (select one)	<input type="checkbox"/> PH:PSH	<input type="checkbox"/> PH:RRH	<input type="checkbox"/> SSO:CA <input type="checkbox"/> RRH-TH
Reviewer Signature:		Date:	

PROJECT QUALITY REQUIREMENTS		
<p>New projects must receive at least the standard and minimum score in each section. Standards and funding priorities will be used in the ranking process. If a standard or minimum is not met, further review will be triggered. After further review, the Project Review Committee will determine potential consequences, including whether the project is ineligible for inclusion in final NC BoS CoC application or will receive reduced funding. Thresholds are a requirement for new projects. Projects that do not meet thresholds will not be put through the next steps in the application process.</p>	<p>Maximum Score Possible:</p>	<p>PSH: 98 RRH: 97 SSO: 74</p>
	<p>Project Score:</p>	

Combined Scoring

This section is scored by two reviewers, a member of the NC BoS CoC Project Review Committee and an NCCEH staff person, and these two scores are averaged for each question. Find more information on the Project Review Committee in the NC BoS CoC Governance Charter: www.ncceh.org/bos.

Section I: General Application		Section I Score	
Possible Points: 15			
Minimum Points Required or Review is Triggered: 8			
Consistency with Mission			
1.1	Does the project fit within the mission of the agency? Does the agency currently serve homeless households in their community? <i>[New Project Form]</i>	<p>Standard</p> <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
Accuracy and Appropriateness of Responses		Possible Score	Project Score
1.2	Is the project description completed and accurate? <i>[Proj. App: 3B]</i>	3	
1.3	Does the agency describe prior experience serving homeless persons that has prepared the agency for administering this grant? <i>[New Project Form]</i>	<p>Standard</p> <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
1.4	Are questions regarding services completed and accurate? <i>[Proj. App: 4A]</i>	3	
1.5	Are questions regarding outreach completed and accurate? <i>[Proj. App: 5C]</i>	3	



1.5	Are questions regarding housing for participants completed and accurate? <i>[Proj. App: 4B]</i>	3	
1.6	Are all questions answered thoroughly and consistently?	3	
Section II: Program Design		Section II Score	
Possible Points: PSH: 30 RRH: 30 SSO: 0 Minimum Points Required or Review is Triggered: PSH: 11 RRH: 11 SSO: Standards Met			
Community Need Statement			
2.1	New CoC projects must demonstrate that they are meeting an existing need in their community. Projects must describe: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What community need the new project will address, including local data (PIT Count, coordinated assessment data, waiting lists, etc.) that demonstrates the need How the community has used other resources to address this need <i>[New Project Form]</i>	Standard <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
Targeting to Prioritized Subpopulations			
2.2	The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the NC Balance of State CoC (NC BoS CoC) prioritize funding for certain homeless subpopulations, including chronically homeless individuals and families, homeless Veterans, people with disabilities, and youth. Projects requesting HUD funding must provide a narrative identifying the subpopulations for which the project will serve and describe the ways in which the project will engage these subpopulations to ensure entry into permanent housing. <i>[New Project Form]</i>	Standard <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
2.3	Does the project have an adequate plan to prioritize households with the longest histories of homelessness? <i>[New Project Form]</i>	Standard <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
2.4	Does the project have an adequate plan to ensure people are moved into housing quickly? <i>[New Project Form]</i>	Standard <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
Permanent Housing Projects (PSH, RRH, and RRH-TH)			
2.5	What priority is this project in its region? <i>[Priority 1, 2, 3, or not prioritized, based on funding priorities document]</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> no priority	



2.6	PSH projects: Is this a permanent supportive (PSH) project dedicated to serving chronically homeless households per HUD Notice CPD-16-11? <i>[TBD]</i>	Threshold <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
2.7	Rental assistance projects are preferred to leasing projects as rental assistance projects adjust to FMR and provide tenants with a lease in their name. Projects that wish to provide leasing must submit a written statement that explains why the project is not applying as a rental assistance project.	Standard <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
2.8	RRH projects: Applicants must be currently receiving Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) RRH funds and be in good standing with the ESG office or operating a RRH program with other funding sources that adheres to the RRH program standards. Exceptions may be made for public housing authorities (note: PHAs are not eligible to apply for ESG funds but are eligible for CoC funding).	Threshold <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
Supportive Services Only (SSO) Projects			
2.9	SSO projects: Is this a coordinated assessment project that will serve the NC BoS CoC's seventy-nine counties?	Threshold <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Housing Over Services			
2.10	Total \$ request for housing activities (acquisition, rehab, construction, rental assistance, leased units, and/or leased structures): <i>[Proj. App: 6]</i>		
2.11	Total Assistance Requested (not including match or admin): <i>[Proj. App: 6, Line 6]</i>		
2.12	Percentage of total budget devoted to housing activities (housing activities request ÷ total request x 100):		
Less than 35%		Possible Score	Project Score
Between 35% and 54.9%		0	
Between 55% and 74.9%		5	
Between 75% and 84.9%		10	
Between 85% and 100%		20	
Between 85% and 100%		30	
2.13	PSH, RRH, and RRH-TH projects: While services are an important component of supporting households in maintaining their housing, HUD prioritizes using CoC program funds for housing and using other sources of available funding to provide services. Projects requesting HUD funding to provide supportive services must provide a plan on how these services will be funded in the future from alternate sources. Please include these elements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other potential sources of funding that the project is working to secure to fund supportive services. • A plan for when the project will reduce its use of CoC funds for services. 	Standard <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	



Housing First		
2.14	Is this a Housing First project? <i>Must meet all statements below to meet threshold. [Proj. App: 3B, question 3d; policies and procedures]</i>	Threshold (must meet all statements below) _____ of 2 met
2.14a	Does the project ensure that participants are not screened out based on the following: <input type="checkbox"/> Having too little or no income <input type="checkbox"/> Active or history of substance abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Having a criminal record (with exceptions for state mandated restrictions) <input type="checkbox"/> History of domestic violence (e.g. lack of protective order, of separation from abuser, or law enforcement involvement)	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.14b	Does the project ensure that participants are not terminated from the program for the following reasons: <input type="checkbox"/> Failure to participate in supportive services <input type="checkbox"/> Failure to make progress on a service plan <input type="checkbox"/> Loss of income or failure to improve income <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic violence <input type="checkbox"/> Any other activity not covered in a lease agreement typically found in the project's geographic area	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
Key Elements of Permanent Supportive Housing		
2.15	If this project is a permanent supportive housing project, does it include the following key elements of permanent supportive housing as defined by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) ¹ ? If project does not meet all standards, the application cannot be included in the CoC competition. <i>[Key Elements of PSH form, program eligibility requirements, sample lease, program rules/house rules (if any)]</i>	Threshold (must meet all statements below) _____ of 9 met
2.15a	Leases or rental agreements do not have any provisions that would not be found in leases held by someone who does not have a disability.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.15b	Participation in services is voluntary and tenants cannot be evicted for rejecting services.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.15c	House rules, if any, are similar to those found in housing for people who do not have disabilities and do not restrict visitors or otherwise interfere with a life in the community.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A

¹ US Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Center for Mental Health Services. (2010) Permanent Supportive Housing: Building Your Program (Evidence- Based Practices KIT). Retrieved from <http://store.samhsa.gov/shin/content//SMA10-4510/SMA10-4510-06-BuildingYourProgram-PSH.pdf>



		<input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.15d	Housing is not time-limited, and the lease is renewable at tenants' and owners' option.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.15e	Tenants have choices in the support services that they receive. They are asked about their choices and can choose from a range of services, and different tenants receive different types of services based on their needs and preferences.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.15f	As needs change over time, tenants can receive more intensive or less intensive support services without losing their homes.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.15g	Before moving into permanent housing, tenants are asked about their housing preference and are offered the same range of choices as are available to others at their income level in the same housing market.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.15h	Support services promoting recovery are designed to help tenants choose, get, and keep housing. In all forms of permanent supportive housing, the staff helps tenants establish a household, meet the obligation of tenancy (such as paying rent on time), and get along with neighbors.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.15i	The provision of housing and the provision of support services are distinct.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
Rapid Re-Housing Performance Benchmarks and Program Standards		
2.16	If this project is a rapid re-housing project, does it include the following program standards as defined by the National Alliance to End Homelessness, the U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs (VA), the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), U.S. Interagency Council on Homelessness (USICH), and Abt Associates ² ? If project does not meet all standards, the application cannot be included in the CoC competition. <i>[RRH Program Standards Form, program eligibility requirements, sample lease, program policies and procedures]</i>	Threshold (must meet all statements below) _____ of 15 met
2.16a	Core Program Standard: Housing Identification	(must meet all statements below) _____ of 4 met
2.16a1	Program designates staff whose responsibility is to identify and recruit landlords and encourage them to rent to homeless households served	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A

² http://www.endhomelessness.org/page/-/files/Rapid%20Re-Housing%20Performance%20Benchmarks%20and%20Program%20Standards_2016.pdf



	by the program. Staff have the knowledge, skills, and agency resources to: understand landlords’ perspectives, understand landlord and tenant rights and responsibilities, and negotiate landlord supports. A program may have dedicated staff for whom this is the primary responsibility. If a program does not have a dedicated staff person(s) who performs this function, case manager job descriptions must include responsibilities including landlord recruitment and negotiation and at least some of the program's case managers must be trained in this specialized skill set to perform the recruitment function effectively. <i>(Note: This answer will be scored based on information provided on the RRH Program Standards Form.)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.16a2	Program has written policies and procedures for landlord recruitment activities, including screening out potential landlord partners who have a history of poor compliance with their legal responsibilities and fair housing practices.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.16a3	Program offers a standard, basic level of support to all landlords who lease to program participants. This support is detailed in a written policy distributed to landlords. Program can negotiate additional supports, as needed, on a case-by-case basis.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.16a4	Program has a written policy requiring staff to explain to participants basic landlord-tenant rights and responsibilities and the requirements of their specific lease.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.16b	Core Program Standard: Rent and Move-In Assistance	(must meet all statements below) _____ of 3 met
2.16b1	Program staff are trained on regulatory requirements of all rapid re-housing funding streams and on the ethical use and application of a program’s financial assistance policies, including, but not limited to, initial and ongoing eligibility criteria, program requirements, and assistance maximums. Program has a routine way to onboard new staff and to keep staff regularly updated on changing regulations and/or program policies.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.16b2	Program has clearly defined policies and procedures for determining the amount of financial assistance provided to a participant, as well as defined and objective standards for when case management and financial assistance should continue and end. Guidelines are flexible enough to respond to the varied and changing needs of program participants, including participants with zero income.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.16b3	A progressive approach is used to determine the duration and amount of rent assistance. Financial assistance is not a standard “package” and is flexible enough to adjust to households’ unique needs and resources, especially as participants’ financial circumstances or housing costs change. Policies detailing this progressive approach include clear and fair decision guidelines and processes for reassessment for the continuation and amount of financial assistance. Policies and	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided



	procedures also detail when and how rapid re-housing assistance is used as a bridge to a permanent subsidy or permanent supportive housing placement.	
2.16c	Core Program Standard: Rapid Re-Housing Case Management and Services	(must meet all statements below) _____ of 4 met
2.16c1	Except where dictated by the funder, program participants direct when, where, and how often case management meetings occur. Meetings occur in a participant’s home and/or in a location of the participant’s choosing whenever possible. (<i>Note: The intent of this standard is that program participants are involved in creating a mutually agreed upon time, place and frequency of meetings with the case manager.</i>)	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.16c2	When case management and service compliance is not mandated by federal or state regulation, services offered by a program have voluntary participation.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.16c3	Program has clearly defined relationships with employment and income programs that it can connect program participants to when appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.16c4	Program has clearly defined policies and objective standards for when case management should continue and end. These guidelines are flexible enough to respond to the varied and changing needs of program participants. In instances where cases are continued outside of these defined policies and objective standards, there is a review and approval process.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.16d	Core Program Standard: Program Philosophy and Design	(must meet all statements below) _____ of 4 met
2.16d1	Program staff are trained on the principles of Housing First and oriented to the basic program philosophy of rapid re-housing. Program has routine way of onboarding new staff that includes training on Housing First and rapid re-housing principles.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.16d2	Program has well-defined and written screening processes that use consistent and transparent decision criteria. Criteria do not include screening possible participants out for income or lack thereof.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
2.16d3	Eligibility criteria for the program do not include a period of sobriety, a commitment to participation in treatment, or any other criteria designed to “predict” long-term housing stability other than willingness to engage the program and work on a self-directed housing plan.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided



2.16d4	Leases for program participants are legally binding, written leases. Leases with additional requirements, such as drug testing or program participation, are not allowed.	<input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided
--------	---	--

Section III: Scope of Services		Section III Score	
Possible Points: 8 Minimum Points Required or Review is Triggered: 6			
Service Needs		Possible Score	Project Score
3.1	Do services adequately and appropriately meet anticipated service needs? <i>[Proj. App: 4A]</i>	4	
Employment Services		Possible Score	Project Score
3.2	Does the project provide or link participants to employment services? Does the program have employment goals? <i>[Proj. App: 4A]</i>	2	
Access to Mainstream Benefits		Possible Score	Project Score
3.3	Does the project include services to help participants access mainstream benefits, including but not limited to using SOAR caseworkers? <i>[Proj. App: 4A]</i>	2	

Staff Scoring

The following section is scored by NCEH. Staff use standardized scoring methods to ensure fairness.

Section IV: Organizational Capacity		Section IV Score	
Possible Points: 16 Minimum Points Required or Review is Triggered: 8			
Completed Similar Projects		Possible Score	Project Score
4.1	Has the agency successfully implemented a CoC-funded project of the same project type (PSH, RRH, or SSO)? <i>[Proj. App: 3B; New Project Form; interview with agency]</i>		
Has successfully implemented the same project type		8	
Has not implemented the same project type		0	
4.2	If not, has the agency successfully implemented this same type of project (permanent supportive housing, rapid rehousing, coordinated assessment) using another funding source? <i>[Proj. App: 3B; New Project Form; interview with agency]</i>	4	
4.3	If the answer to either of the questions is yes, are the same staff that were operating the program at that time going to be operating the proposed project? <i>[Proj. App: 3B; New Project Form; interview with agency]</i>	2	
4.4	If none of the above, has the agency successfully implemented a different HUD-funded project (ESG, Section 8, HPRP, etc.) or a CoC-funded project of a different type?	2	



	<i>[Proj. App: 3B; New Project Form; interview with agency]</i>		
Agency Stability			
4.5	Has the agency been in operation for at least three years? <i>[Proj. App: 3B; New Project Form; interview with agency]</i>	Threshold <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
4.6	Non-profits only: Did the applicant submit a signed audit letter and a copy of their budget from the most recent fiscal year? (Financial statements will be used to assess fiscal stability of the applicant agency. Financial statements that demonstrate instability may result in the agency not meeting requirements.) <i>[Audit letter and budget]</i>	Threshold <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
4.7	Non-profits only: Does the agency have the financial capacity to operate this project on a reimbursement basis? <i>[Budget]</i>	Threshold <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
4.8	Non-profits only: Has the agency submitted a list of their board of directors and a copy of the minutes from their three most recent board meetings? Does the agency have an active and engaged board of directors? <i>[Board list and minutes]</i>	Threshold <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
Capacity to Provide Needed Services			
4.9	Does the agency have the capacity to provide the services that will be needed? a) Do the services described seem adequate and appropriate and b) is the staffing pattern or subcontract plan adequate and appropriate? Do program staff have sufficient experience and knowledge to effectively run the type of program applied for? <i>[Proj. App: 3B and 4A; organizational chart]</i>	Standard <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
Administrative Capacity		Possible Score	Project Score
4.10	Is the administrative staff separate from the services staff? <i>[Organizational chart]</i>	3	
4.11	Is funding for the administrative staff stable? Is there adequate administrative staff to ensure agency stability throughout program implementation? <i>[Budget]</i>	3	
Section V: Project Performance		Section V Score	
Possible Points Added: PSH: 29 RRH: 28 SSO: 20 Minimum Points Required or Review is Triggered: PSH: 15 RRH: 15 SSO: 5			
The following project performance scores are based on HMIS Annual Performance Reports (APRs) for January 1, 2016, to December 31, 2016, unless otherwise noted.			



Populations Served		Possible Score	Project Score
5.1	What is the program's unit utilization rate? <i>[compare actual units in HMIS vs. application projections]</i>		
	95% or higher	2	
	0-94%	0	
5.2	Did 100% of program participants enter the program from an eligible situation? <i>[if participants found ineligible, staff will follow up with grantee to determine eligibility]</i>		
	Yes	1	
	No	0	
5.3	RRH projects: what percentage of program participants exited to a permanent housing destination?		
	Below 80%	0	
	Performance met RRH Performance Benchmark Goal: At least 80%	2	
5.4	PSH projects: what percentage of program participants exited to a permanent housing destination? (if no exits, 2 points are automatically awarded)		
	Below 80%	0	
	80% or higher	2	
5.5	What percentage of program participants exited to a known destination?		
	95% or higher	1	
	0-94%	0	
5.6	What percentage of program participants were employed at program exit?		
	Performance met HUD Goal: At least 20%	0	
	Performance met BoS Goal: At least 28%	1	
5.7	What percentage of program participants were receiving mainstream benefits at program exit?		
	Performance met HUD Goal: At least 20%	0	
	Performance met BoS Goal: At least 75%	1	
5.8	PSH projects: what percentage of program participants remained in the program for 6 months or longer?		
	Performance met HUD Goal: At least 80%	0	
	Performance met BoS Goal: At least 94%	1	
HMIS Participation (Per federal law domestic violence programs are prohibited from using HMIS and exempted from this section)		Possible Score	Project Score
5.9	Are all of the agency's projects that are listed in the 2017 HIC participating in HMIS? <i>[HIC]</i>		
	Yes	5	
	No	0	
5.10	Does the agency commit to enter 100% of this project's beds into HMIS (with client consent)? <i>[New Project Form; interview with agency]</i>	Threshold <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet	



		<input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
HUD Monitoring			
5.11	If the agency has other existing projects, is the recipient free of HUD monitoring findings for any agency projects? If not, findings must be resolved or explained to the satisfaction of the Project Review Committee for the application to meet standards. <i>[New Project Form; Interview with agency]</i>	Standard <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
5.12	Previous Project Spending Rates These questions are for projects that have been operating for at least one year at the time of the NOFA release. (percentage rounded to the nearest whole number) <i>[Scored on APR. If APR is not available, agencies will submit a LOCCS screenshot of final draw for last completed year. If agencies are spending less than 90% of funding, they must submit a narrative explaining why the agency is underspending their grant.]</i>	Amount awarded	
		Amount spent	
5.13	Percentage 90+%	Standard <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
5.14	How many grant extensions from HUD were given in for a reason other than merging grants? <i>[Interview with agency or information from HUD]</i>	Possible Score	Project Score
		0	15
		1	0
		2+	further review



Section VI: Agency's Relationship to Community		Section VI Score	
Possible Points: 0 Minimum Points Required or Review is Triggered: Standards Met			
Participation in Regional Committee Activities The following participation questions will be scored based on project participation in all Regional Committees within the grant coverage area.			
6.1	Did the applicant participate in 75% of Regional Committee meetings from July 2016 – June 2017? <i>[Regional Committee Information Form]</i>	Standard <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet	
6.2	Application has been presented to Regional Committee for consideration by the BoS Project Review Committee <i>[Letter of support from Regional Committee(s)]</i>	Threshold <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
6.3	Participated in regional ESG planning process (participated in discussions, meetings, scoring, and/or committees) <i>[Regional Committee Information Form]</i>	Standard <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet	
6.4	Applicant agrees to participate in the local Coordinated Assessment process as designed by the Regional Committee and only take referrals from the system <i>[New Project Form; interview with applicant]</i>	Threshold <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> unmet, documentation not provided	
6.5	Do all of applicant's projects on the 2017 HIC participate in the Coordinated Assessment system? <i>[Interview with region's Coordinated Assessment Lead and applicant]</i>	Standard <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet <input type="checkbox"/> N/A	
Section VII: Application Deadlines and Documentation		Section VII Score	
Possible Deductions: -25 Minimum Points Required or Review is Triggered: Not more than loss of -15			
Budget & Match		Possible Score	Project Score
7.1	If questions regarding the budget are not complete and accurate, subtract up to 5 points.	-5	
7.2	Do match letters sufficiently document the required match for the project type? <i>[Match amounts are based on documentation submitted by the applicant by the submission deadline. Information submitted after the deadline will not be included in the scoring of these sections.]</i>	Standard <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet	



Deadlines		Possible Score	Project Score
7.3	If the online application was NOT completed correctly, subtract up to 10 points. (Specific dates for deadlines will be clarified as the NOFA timeline is discerned or published.)	-10	
7.4	If required accompanying documents were NOT completed correctly, subtract up to 10 points.	-10	
7.5	The online application and accompanying documents must be submitted by the deadline.	Threshold <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet	
7.6	Was the signed NC BoS CoC Grantee Agreement submitted?	Threshold <input type="checkbox"/> met <input type="checkbox"/> unmet	

