



NC Balance of State Continuum of Care

Permanent Supportive Housing Subcommittee
February 10, 2014
10:30 AM

Today's Agenda

- 2013 CoC Project Application Scores
 - Sent by email to grantees on Feb 7
 - Scorecard review
 - Minimums
 - Thresholds
 - Point maximization



2013 CoC Project App Scores

- Max possible score 198
- 33 projects scored
 - Highest score 135.25
 - Lowest score 41
 - Median 101
 - Mean 94.3



Scorecard Review

- Section 1
 - Some subjective measures
 - Scored by NCCEH staff + 1 member of Project Review Committee
 - Project Review Committee member from a distant Regional Committee
 - Scores averaged
- Section 2
 - Objective measures
 - Scored by NCCEH staff only



Section 1: Correctness of App

Question	Source	Possible Points
Is the project description completed & accurate?	[Proj. App: 3B]	3
Questions regarding services complete & accurate?	[Proj. App: 4A]	3
Questions regarding outreach complete & accurate?	[Proj. App: 5C]	3
Are the standard performance measures completed? Are the goals appropriate for the project? If the applicant chose to complete additional performance measures, are they appropriate for the project? Are the descriptions complete?	[Proj. App: 6A & 6B]	4
Is the overall application complete, accurate & error-free?	[ALL]	2



Section 1: HUD Priorities

- Project App Questions 5A and 5B were problematic

Question	Source	Possible Points
What percentage of the adults served by the project are expected to be people with disabilities?	[Proj. App: 5A]	Less than 100% 0 points 100% 8 points
What percentage of the adults served by the project are expected to be veterans?	[Proj. App: 5B]	Less than 25% 0 points 25-49% 4 points 50-74% 8 points 75-99% 12 points 100% 16 points
What percentage of the people (adults and children) served by the project are expected to be chronically homeless?	[Proj. App: 5B]	Less than 25% 0 points 25-49% 4 points 50-74% 8 points 75-99% 12 points 100% 16 points



Section 1: HUD Priorities, Cont.

Question	Source	Possible Points
Is this a permanent supportive housing (PSH) project that is requesting any funds for housing?	[Proj. App: 3A, question 5; 7I]	Yes 10 points No 0 points
Is this a transitional housing (TH) project that operates a transition-in-place model?	[Proj. App: 3A, 3B]	Yes 5 points No 0 points
If this project is a Permanent Supportive Housing project, does it include the following key elements of Permanent Supportive Housing?	[Proj. App: 3B]	Threshold Yes/No
Does the project use Energy Star appliances?	[Proj. App: 3A, question 6]	1



Section 1: HUD Priorities, Cont.

Question	Source	Possible Points
Percentage of total budget devoted to housing activities (housing activities request/total request x 100):	[Proj. App.: 7I]	Less than 35% 0 points 36-54% 5 points 55-74% 10 points 75-84% 15 points 85-100% 20 points
Projects requesting supportive services funding must submit a justification statement that explains why the project is asking for CoC services funding.	[Proj. App.: 7I, line 11]	Threshold Yes/No



Section 2: Correctness of App

Question	Source	Possible Points
If questions regarding the budget are not complete and accurate, subtract up to 5 points.		-5
If the on-line application via esnaps was NOT completed correctly and in a timely manner, subtract up to 10 points. (Specific dates for deadlines will be clarified as the NOFA timeline is discerned or published. Late applications may be held until the following year.)		-10
If required accompanying documents are NOT turned in on time, subtract up to 10 points.		-10



Section 2: Match & Leverage

- Match letters threshold from Project App deadline

Question	Source	Possible Points
Do match letters sufficiently document the required match for the project type?		Threshold yes/no
Leverage ratio	[Proj. App: 7], 7]	At least 1.5:1 Threshold 1.5-1.99:1 4 points 2:1 or higher 8 points



Section 2: APR Data & HMIS

- 85 possible points (or loss of 20)

Question	Possible Points
Program's unit utilization rate	95% or higher 5 points 80-94% 0 points 0-79% -5 points
100% of entries from eligible homeless situation	Yes 5 points No 0 points
TH: exits to permanent housing	At least 65% (HUD) 5 points + At least 82% (BoS) 10 points = 15 possible points
PSH: exists to permanent housing (if no exits, 10 points is automatically awarded)	80% or higher 10 points



Section 2: APR Data & HMIS, Cont.

Question	Possible Points
Participants exited to a known destination	95% or higher 5 points 80-94% 0 points 0-79% -5 points
Participants were employed at program exit	At least 20% (HUD) 5 points + At least 28% (BoS) 10 points = 15 possible points
Participants receiving mainstream benefits at program exit	At least 20% (HUD) 5 points + At least 75% (BoS) 10 points = 15 possible points
PSH: participants remained in the program for 6 months or longer	At least 80% (HUD) 5 points + At least 87% (BoS) 10 points = 15 possible points



Section 2: APR Data & HMIS, Cont.

Question	Possible Points
HMIS Data Completeness	81-100% 5 points 80% 0 points below 80% -10 points
If the agency has additional beds (not a part of this project application), are those beds also being entered into the system?	Yes 5 points No 0 points
Does the agency commit to enter 100% of the beds into the system (with client consent)?	Threshold Yes/No
Does the APR that has been submitted to HUD match the APR as pulled from CHIN?	5



Section 2: Relationship to Community & Regional Performance

- Agency only scored on “home” Regional Committee
 - Not all Regional Committees covered by grant
- Confusion about ConPlan
 - NCCEH will publicize info about state ConPlan meetings



Minimum Issues

- 2013 Scorecard
 - “Renewal projects must receive a minimum score in each section above. If the minimum is not met, further review will be triggered. After further review, the project may be ineligible for inclusion in final BoS CoC application.”

DID NOT MEET MINIMUMS				
Correctness of App 1	HUD Priorities	Correctness of App 2	APR	Rel to Community
8	3	1	21	0



Threshold Issues

- 17 PSH projects did not meet PSH Key Element Threshold
- 8 projects did not meet Services Statement Threshold
- We need more info to score
 - In project description or another form?
 - Consequences for not meeting thresholds?
- Match & leverage thresholds addressed in project application review process



Threshold Issues, Cont.

- Spending, Relationship to Community & Regional Performance thresholds not met → form to fill with narrative
 - Appropriate further review?



Stay in touch!

- bos@ncceh.org
- 919.755.4393

