



North Carolina Balance of State Continuum of Care

bos@ncceh.org

919.755.4393

www.ncceh.org/BoS

Scorecard Committee Meeting

June 21, 2016

Committee Members: Ellery Blackstock, Nicole Dewitt, Monica Frizzell, Bob Lawler, Jefferey Rawlings, Tammy Rogers, Candice Rountree, Taniza Tabb, Jamal Troublefield, Janice Johnson

Staff: Nancy Holochwost, Emily Carmody, Brian Alexander, Denise Neunaber

Revisions to the 2016 Renewal Scorecard

Staff and Scorecard Committee discussed the draft scorecard that the BoS CoC staff sent to the committee with suggested staff changes. These changes included:

- Removing references to TH since there are no TH projects for renewal
- Including language about standards and minimums in the scorecard instructions
- Section I: General Application- Clarifying that additional performance measures should not be included in the score
- Section II: HUD and BoS Priorities- Adding a Housing first question worth 10 points
- Adding the option of “not met- documentation not provided” for standard questions to help staff track why standards are not met
- Section II: HUD and BoS Priorities- Adding RRH Performance Benchmarks and Program Standards questions for 4 points
 - The committee decided that a clarifying statement would be added to the staff job descriptions that this information will be gathered by a RRH standard form rather than the job descriptions
 - The committee decided that a clarifying statement would be added to state that meeting time and place should be mutually agreed upon by the client and case manager
- Section III: CoC Priorities- take out the Energy Star question since it is was not asked last year
- Section V: Project Performance- Questions will be scored from an HMIS APR report reflecting January 1, 2015- December 31, 2015
- Section V: Project Performance- projects will be scored on actual numbers of disabled and chronically homeless that they served
- Section V: Project Performance- RRH question was changed to match RRH benchmarks
- Section V: Project Performance- Spending information instructions were changed to include APRs, eLOCCS and a narrative.

- Section V: Project Performance- Adding a Coordinated Assessment and Prioritization section that asks projects about only taking referrals from the Coordinated Assessment process and following HUD Notice CPD-14-012.
- Section VI: Deductions- Clarified that projects can lose up to 10 points for accompanying documents not being completed correctly.
- Section VI: Deductions- Clarifying that the standard is that the online application and all accompanying documentation must be turned in by the deadline.

The Scorecard Committee agreed with the staff recommended changes. The committee ran out of time before the New Scorecard could be reviewed. BoS CoC staff agreed to schedule another meeting to review New Scorecard edits.

